



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,430	08/28/2003	Chih-Wei Chen	LA-7196-124	7234
167 75	90 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI LLP			PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
LOS ANGELES	R STREET, 41ST FLOOR S, CA 90071		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
	•		2182	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/650,430	CHEN, CHIH-WEI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Tammara R. Peyton	2182	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period f	or Reply			
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perime to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the provision of the provisi	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO titute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 October 2005		
/=		his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	•
•	closed in accordance with the practice unde	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ').
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	J		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
. ^				
Attachmen		🗖		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeKoning et al., (US 6,178,520)

As per claims 1-5, 7, and 9, DeKoning teaches a computer system for dynamically accessing externally connecting storage devices, for allowing each of at least two of the storage devices to be dynamically connected to or separated from the computer system via a hot plug connection, the computer system comprising: a connection interface connected with the at least two storage devices; an input/output (I/O) control circuit for being connected with or separated from the at least two storage devices, wherein the I/O control circuit outputs an informing signal when a connection status between any of the at least two storage devices and the I/O control circuit changes;

a system control circuit connected with the I/O control circuit, for receiving the informing signal from the I/O control circuit and consequently outputting an interrupt request signal;

an interface control circuit connected with the connection interface, for controlling a transmission format and an interface format of the connection interface according to internally stored interface settings in the interface control circuit; and a central processing unit connected with the connection interface and the system control circuit, for accessing the at least two storage devices via the connection interface, and for receiving the interrupt request signal from the system control circuit to consequently determine if the interrupt request signal corresponds to a change of the connection status between the I/O control circuit and the at least two storage devices, wherein if yes, the central processing unit loads corresponding interface settings into the interface control circuit according to the number and arrangement of the storage devices thereby determined to be currently connected to the I/O control circuit.

DeKoning teaches of using device drivers within the storage controller (102 of figure 1) via storage system (100). DeKoning teaches that these drivers alert software modules of the events of insertion/removal for further processing, col. 3, lines 30 - 40) DeKoning teaches that sub system monitor (208 of figure 2) polls all the attached devices on bus 150 using driver of 202. DeKoning teaches that a variety of signals may be utilized to convey the detection, which would comprise the interrupt system to CPU 112 of figure 1, col. 7, lines 56 - 59. Hot swap monitor 210 of figure 2 receives the

Application/Control Number: 10/650,430

Art Unit: 2182

notification and relays the event to configuration manager 206 to trigger appropriate action, col 8, lines 1 - 5). DeKoning does not expressly teach the I/O control circuit is a super I/O chip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a super I/O chip as it standardizes and simplifies the design of the system, and thus reduces cost. DeKoning also does not expressly teach wherein the system control circuit is a south bridge chip, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a south bridge chip as it incorporates a number of different controller functions.

As per claim 6, DeKoning teaches wherein the I/O control circuit has one or more I/O ports for being connected with the storage devices.

As per claim 8, DeKoning does not expressly teach wherein the 1/0 port is a GPIO (General-purpose Input Output) port. However the use of GPIO ports are notoriously well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use GPIO ports as they provide the capability to support several functions thus improving flexibility in a system.

As per claim 10, DeKoning does not express teach wherein the disk drive interface is of a format selected from the group consisting of IDE (Integrated Device Electronics) format, E-IDE (Enhanced-IDE) format, ATA (Advanced Technology

Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

Attachment) format, and ATAPI (ATA Packet Interface) format. However the use of these well-known disk drive interfaces would be obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments are moot based on the new grounds of rejections.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim

Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

TAMMARA PEYTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tammara Peyton

February 13, 2006 -